IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3918 OF 2005

Suresh Dnyanoba Patole & Ors. ...Applicants
vs.
State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

Mr.Anurag Jain for the Applicant
Ms R.M.Gadhvi,A.P.P. for State

CORAM: A.S.OKA,J.
DATE : JULY 1,2005.

P.C.:

1. Heard Counsel appearing for the parties. The Application for bail made by the Applicants to the Sessions Court has been rejected on two grounds. The first is that the investigation is incomplete and the second ground is that there was a suicide note left by the deceased. Hence, it was observed that at this stage, it is not desirable to grant bail.

2. The learned Counsel for the Applicants claims parity on the basis of the order dated 14th June 2005 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Pune.

3. The learned A.P.P. on instructions states that now the investigation is completed and the charge sheet will be filed within a period of one week.

4. Hence, this Application is disposed of with liberty to the Applicants to make a fresh application in Sessions Court after the charge sheet is filed. It will be open for the Applicants to claim parity on the basis of order dated 14th February 2005 passed in Criminal Misc. Application No.975 of 2005. The claim for parity will be considered by the learned Sessions Judge on its own merits.

JUDGE